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# Summary

This project tries to integrate Italian fragmented healthcare registries into a comprehensive, machine readable database, by producing a comprehensive list of surnames in Italy and injecting such list as search keys in the Italian public registries. The process has been successful for the list of medical doctors, while only partially successful for physiotherapists and all other medical professionals included in TSRM-PSTRP registries, hence allowing for data analysis and reporting. The total number of records scraped from the integrated databases is 496.956.
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# Introduction

The topic of scarcity of medical personnel is greatly discussed both on a national [1] and European level [2] and is one of the main political topics being discussed in recent years in mostly all developed countries.

There are many reasons behind why such shortage has happened in the first place: the **increasing ageing of the population** (especially in the European Union, where fertility and natality rates have dropped), along with an **inadequate planning on medical education** across all countries, has led to a situation where **there are more doctors and medical practitioners going into retirement** (or early retirement, due to stress and unsatisfactory working conditions, that will not be addressed in this introduction) **than there are newly educated professionals** entering the healthcare market.

While this stands true also in the Italian environment, as confirmed both from field experts and public authorities, a **clear and publicly accessible collection of data about the medical population is still missing.** While all medical practitioners (doctors and other healthcare professionals) are obliged to be inscribed in publicly available records, these records are not meant to provide information on an aggregated level, as their purpose is to just provide verifiability for any person to possess all the requirements to act as a medical practitioner.

In addition, there is not only “*one”* public registry of medical practitioners *per se*: in reality, the National Registry of Medical Doctors (which will be discussed further in the next section) acts as a collector of all the different Local Registries from all the Italian provinces, thus **bringing differences on what information is collected and in what format is stored**.

The main objective of this project, therefore, has been to find a way to **understand how the Italian medical population is structured** and to **make the data available for exploration, aggregated analysis and search for insights**. By doing so, we believe it is possible to improve how planning on medical education is performed for the near future, as well as gaining a deeper knowledge on how threatening the situation really is.

# Datasets and Acquisition Methods

First of all, the group has analyzed how the information on medical professionals is presented across the different public registries.

Since 2023, both the National Federation of Medical Practitioners and Dentists (*“Federazione Nazionale Ordine dei Medici Chirurghi e Odontoiatri”, FNOMCEO* [3]) and the National Federation of Medical Professionals (which includes *“Federazione Nazionale Ordine Fisioterapisti Italiani” - FNOFI* [4]for physiotherapists and *“Tecnici Sanitari di Radiologia Medica e Professionisti Sanitari delle Terapie, Riabilitazione e Prevenzione” – TSRM PSTRP* [5]for all the other medical professions, aside from nurses) are available online for public access and for checking whether an individual is actually licensed to perform medical care as a certified healthcare professional.

This has been a welcomed change. Prior to this it was already possible to perform said check, but the information about an individual’s belonging to a specific registry was seldom fragmented across all the registries across the different provinces (especially with regards to the FNOFI and TSRM-PSTRP registries). By merging all provincial registries into one, unique public registry, checking for a medical practitioner’s credentials has become much easier for the population (although with some issues on the quality of data, which will be discussed later on).

A particular characteristic about how a single search is performed in both FNOMCEO and FNOFI-TSRM-PSTRP makes this project viable, since **it is possible to obtain information about any particular professional by just providing his or her surname**. Any search made by providing a surname returns, in fact, a tabular list of all medical professionals with such surname, along with some of his or her personal data, in detail:

For **FNOMCEO**:

* *Name*
* *Surname*
* *Date of Birth*
* *Place of Birth*
* *Date of Graduation*
* *Name of Degree*
* *Date of Qualification*
* *Session No. of Qualification*
* *Province of Registration*
* *Number of Registration*
* *Date of Specialization 1 (if any)*
* *Name of Specialization 1 (if any)*
* *(any other additional Specialization)*
* *(any other additional Special Qualification)*

For **FNOFI-TSRM-PTSRP**:

* *Name*
* *Surname*
* *Date of Birth*
* *Place of Birth*
* *Date of Graduation*
* *Name of Medical Profession*
* *Date of Qualification*
* *Province of Registration*
* *Number of Registration*
* *(any other additional Specialization)*
* *(any other additional Special Qualification)*

Since there is no available API for accessing this data, and since the goal is to reverse-engineer the underlying complete datasets for aggregate operations on all the medical population, the idea is therefore to **obtain a comprehensive list of all the surnames in Italy** and use it to **scrape the complete datasets via Python script,** collecting all the information about the medical professionals one surname at a time, for both registries.

One dataset, then, is still needed: **the complete list of all surnames in Italy** to use as keys for our search on FNOMCEO and FNOFI-TSRM-PSTRP. Luckily an Italian website, Cognomix [6], seems to already have the information needed: a comprehensive list of more than 42.000 surnames, that would hypothetically seem to be enough to scrape both registries (the expected amount on Medical Doctors and Dentists in Italy is around 350.000 individuals, while the expected amount of other medical professionals is around 69.000 for FNOFI and 173.000 for TSRM-PSTRP).

# Data Acquisition and Storage

The logical process and the datasets acquisition is described as follows:

1. First, the list of all Italian surnames is obtained via scraping with a Python script using Selenium on Cognomix.com. The complete list of 42.666 records is stored locally as a light CSV file for later.
2. Via another Python script and using Selenium and BeautifulSoup, the csv list of all Italian surnames is used on <https://portale.fnomceo.it/cerca-prof/index.php> (FNOMCEO Registrry search engine) to obtain a tabular HTML list of all doctors with any given surname. Each record of the HTML page is then stored structurally onto a JSON file as a Document object.
3. The same is being done for <https://albo.alboweb.net/registry/search> (TSRM-PSTRP) and <https://albo.alboweb-fnofi.net/registry/search> (FNOFI). Both registries are absolutely identical in the form structure and HTML logic and will parallelly be stored onto different JSONs.

The reason for why **the group has adopted a NoSQL approach** is quite straightforward: given that any doctor or medical professional may have zero, one or multiple specializations in any medical field, and given that the national registries are the result of a (not completely well-performed) integration of several different local registries with some differences between them, a too structured SQL approach would have probably been both potentially **inefficient** (for all the individuals without any specialization) and **dangerous** (we do not know what is the maximum number of specializations obtained within all Italian doctors). With a Document-based approach, on the other hand, it is possible to maintain the data structure needed for accommodating any information regarding each record. Additionally, a Document-based approach will make the future queries on the integrated databases much more performative: for example, when searching for only a specific medical specialization (e.g.: dermatology) we won’t have to look for all medical professionals from FNOFI or TSRM-PSTRP (that could never be also dermatologists). Finally, still via Python script the different JSONs obtained during the different scraping sessions are appended together and prepared for integration.

# Data Quality Assessment and Cleaning

The nature of the work has a few characteristics that must be considered for evaluating data quality both on a subjective basis and an objective one.

The level of original fragmentation of the dataset, caused by both a fragmentation of the different medical professions along with the different record keeping structure in place in the different provinces, has made impossible to have *one, certain, consistent, non-variable and officially accepted* number of medical professionals at any given time. There is, since 2023, a “certain enough” number of individuals registered at FNOFI (69.848), at FNOMCEO (*around* 357.000) and at TSRM-PSTRP (*around* 173.000), as declared by the respective organizations. For this reason, in terms of evaluating the **completeness** of the dataset, it has been decided to find out if the data scraped by the three registries was far from these expected results or not. Naturally, assuming an *open world* scenario, we are defining exclusively an **Object Completeness** (as it is the case with NoSQL exercises such as this one).

With this in mind:

* FNOMCEO dataset resulted in **357.084 records**, which is *exactly* the expected amount of data (100%);
* FNOFI dataset resulted in **48.313 records**, which accounts for 69,13% of the expected records;
* TSRM-PSTRP dataset resulted in **91.559 records** (52,92% of the expected results).

The reason for this incomplete collection of records for FNOFI and TSRM-PSTRP does not come surprising: while FNOMCEO has centralized their National Registry since 2006, **this has not been the case for the other two registries**, who have begun their process of data centralization only in the end of 2023. For this reason, it may still take a while for all the local registries (provinces) to integrate their data into the new national ones.

In terms of **temporal** quality of dataset, it is important to guarantee that the data is up to date both in terms of new professionals inscribed and in terms of other professionals retiring or withdrawing from the medical profession (for incompatibility with other activities or for any other change in their status). While it would be extremely difficult to have any information on the latter change of status (it is, in fact, possible to maintain the medical practice even after retirement), it is important to **keep the dataset current** if it is going to be used for updated insights. Sadly, this does not seem to be the case for the FNOMCEO dataset, as many records do not seem to have been updated since a very long time (more on this on the next chapter). We will not consider any Timeliness quality of the database, since the goal of the project is to receive insights on a “enough-updated” dataset of medical professionals and we do not need a strict more recent update of that data (which is not bound to frequent change).

In terms of **Consistency**, especially in terms of **integrity constraints**, the group has made sure that all information was correctly defined at the source level. Specifically, we have made sure that **all records presented the correct format for all main attributes** (as in: all birth dates had to be in the same date format, all registration numbers had to be numbers[[1]](#footnote-1), all the other attributes had to be strings).

There has been, however, an issue in terms of **Consistency with different representations of the same data**: for example, Radiologic Technologists (*Tecnico Sanitario di Radiologia Medica*, or TSRM for short) had been classified as both “TSRM” or “T.S.R.M.”, depending on the registry of initial provenance. For this reason, a **Python dictionary** has been manually applied to all records with the purposes of **cleaning the data at design-time**, thus eliminating the redundancies and allowing for the correct query execution.

# Exploratory Analysis

The first graphical exploratory analysis has been made on the age of every single doctor in the FNOMCEO registry: this is useful to understand if the available data reflects what we know about the sector: specifically a high concentration of doctors aged 55 or older.
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Figure 1: Age distribution for medical doctors in FNOMCEO

The distribution obtained from the FNOMCEO database agrees with our expectation, with the **vast majority of records centered around the 65-70 age class**. Only **one record is a clear inaccuracy**, showing an age of 1.845 years (Rubino Marisa, which was registered as born in 27/09/0178),

The same distributions have been plotted for FNOFI and TSRM-PSTRP, with an apparent unimodal distribution for FNOFI and slightly bimodal distribution for TSRM-PSTRP. These distributions make sense and do not show any clear, inacceptable outlier.
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Figure 2: Age distribution for physiotherapists in FNOFI
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Figure 3: Age distribution for other medical professionals in TSRM-PSTRP

Finally, a box plot has been made to see if the dataset is actually current or not. Unfortunately, the distribution shows that many records from FNOMCEO have actually never been updated since many years ago, which makes the Dataset not very up to date.
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Figure 4: Currency distribution for FNOMCEO records

# Database Integration

The integration phase of the project has actually been quite straightforward, given the similarities between FNOMCEO and FNOFI-TSRM-PSTRP (FTP for short: these last ones will be treated as the same one, since the database structure from FNOFI and TSRM-PSTRP is exactly identical and is therefore no more difficult than just a simple appending).

Looking for correspondences in terms of **schema matching**, the following **equivalences** have been established by investigation.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FNOMCEO** |  | **FTP** |
| *person\_id* | = | *person\_id* |
| *surname* | = | *surname* |
| *first\_name* | = | *first\_name* |
| *date\_of\_birth* | = | *date\_of\_birth* |
| *birth\_place* | = | *birth\_place* |
| *province* | = | *client\_name* |
| *iscrizioni* | = | *special\_register* |
| *number* | = | *entry\_number* |

Two main issues had to be further **mapped** in order to allow for integration: while the *special\_register* object contains all the information about the medical practice for FTP individuals, this information is divided across multiple nested documents in the FNOMCEO registry (*lauree*, *abilitazioni*, *specializzazioni*). Additionally, the *board\_name* under *special\_registry* for FTP records, basically corresponds to the *name* attribute under *lauree* for FNOMCEO, but *not quite*: in fact, while under *name* we have the name of the degree (e.g.: “Medicina e Chirurgia”), the *board\_name* under *special\_register* for FTP records describes **the profession that comes from such degree**, and not the degree per se (e.g.: “physiotherapist” instead of “physiotherapy”). **This instance-level conflict will be approached with a solution at design time**: specifically, before saving the final dataset for FTP records, a dictionary will be applied for generating a new *lauree* object, containing a *name* attribute, for FTP records as well. This means that FTP database will contain a new document *lauree* for each record, each containing a *name* attribute, describing the name (and not the profession that comes from it) of the degree obtained from each healthcare professional in FTP database.

The second issue concerns the date of inscription in the registry for each registry: the FNOMCEO database records only the year of first inscription, while the FTP database **keeps the date in a full format**. In order to not eliminate any information from the dataset, we have decided to keep the full date of inscription in the FTP registries and add there an additional *year* attribute, that would replicate the FNOMCEO structure perfectly. While redundant, this choice is lean enough to not hinder too much on the database dimensions, while giving priority to not lose any information obtained with the scraping and allowing therefore for additional analysis (albeit only for FTP records).

Naturally, all other documents from doctors in FNOMCEO database have been kept (hence the noSQL structural decision in the first place). The integration schema, therefore, appears as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **FNOMCEO** | **FTP** |
| *person\_id* | *person\_id* |
| *surname* | *surname* |
| *first\_name* | *first\_name* |
| *date\_of\_birth* | *date\_of\_birth* |
| *birth\_place* | *birth\_place* |
| *province* | *client\_name* |
| *iscrizioni* | *special\_register* |
| *number* | *entry\_number* |
| *year* | *year* |
| *---* | *inscripted\_at* |
| *province* | *---* |
| *lauree* | *lauree* |
| *name* | *name* |
| *university\_name* | *---* |
| *year* | *---* |
| *abilitazioni* | *---* |
| *name* | *---* |
| *university\_name* | *---* |
| *Year* | *---* |
| *round* | *---* |
| *[…] (any additional)* | *---* |
| *specializzazioni* | *---* |
| *specializzazione\_name* | *---* |
| *university\_name* | *---* |
| *Year* | *---* |
| *round* | *---* |
| *[…] (any additional)* | *---* |

# Software Architecture

The project has been developed using many different tools, all based on **Python** as the main language.

The libraries **Pandas**, **Pyarrow** and **Selenium** have been used during the scraping phases of both the surnames main list and for all three registries (for handling the datasets, handling the index iterations and the scraping from the different websites, respectively). **Json** library has been imported for handling the json files and their final merging. Finally, **Pymongo** has allowed to store all the obtained data in a **MongoDB**, making easy queries possibles via client MongoDBCompass.

All the code has been developed on different **Jupyter Notebooks** and made publicly available on Github [7].

# Conclusions and Issues Faced

The first important issue to face is the evident level of **(low) Object Completeness** for FNOFI and TSRM-PSTRP registries, which present fewer records than expected. However, given the likely perfect completeness of the FNOMCEO registry (or at least apparent in that sense), and given that we know that both registries are (at the present moment) in an ongoing process of unification into the National Registry, it might be possible that those records are still in the process of being transferred.

Secondly, the **processing time** for each dataset is something to be considered, as each website required almost a full day to be scraped in a way that would not result in any issues server-side.

Thirdly, the **different ways** in which the same medical profession degree have been named have actually made really difficult to easily implement queries based on the profession, especially for the TSRM-PSTRP database (for example: “Dietistica”, “Dietetica”, “Dietista”). A much more extended Python vocabulary can although be implemented, in order to resolve each possible conflict during integration.

In conclusion, the scraping process has allowed to collect and store **496.956 records,** composed of medical doctors, physiotherapists and some other medical professions, with an apparently perfect completeness regarding doctors in FNOMCEO and some degree of completeness for the other professionals, probably due to the transfer of records being in place at the moment from the local registries to the national, unified ones.

# Future Developments

Aside from the issue on Completeness of the dataset (which should actually solve itself when all the local province registries will correctly input their data into the correct national database), an interesting development would be to deduce a point of contact **with every single professional**, thus **enriching** the dataset with additional, valuable information, such as an **e-mail address**. In particular, given that:

1. All medical professionals are obliged to have a PEC address (*Posta Elettronica Certificata*);
2. Such PEC address must be publicly available on *Indice Nazionale Indirizzi PEC* (INIPEC, <https://www.inipec.gov.it/cerca-pec>);
3. It is possible to make a search for a PEC address by inputting the Registry name (FNOMCEO, FNOFI or TSRM-PSTRTP) and the inscription number for each individual of the dataset;

It would be theoretically possible to scrape the PEC addresses for all practitioners (considering, of course, the presence of a reCAPTCHA in Inipec search). Naturally this would have a whole lot of implications, especially on a GDPR perspective, that will not be discussed in this report but that must be considered in evaluating this idea.

Another possible development for this project is to make the dataset available for public consultation with an **Open Data access**, in order to make this aggregated overview of the state of the healthcare market available for public consultation and further analysis. It would of some use, for example, for the public (and very much current) discussion on the **seat availability in medical universities**, a very controversial topic at the present moment.

# Operational Guide

The public repository and history for the whole project can be found on Github, at the link provided in the Reference paragraph of this report [7].

The repository contains different Jupyter Notebooks, along with some files used for indexing (which will be further explained later on this chapter) and the different folders for the different registries.

For replicating the project, it is required to **first delete the following files**:

* last\_index.txt
* fnofi\_last\_index.txt
* alboweb\_last\_index.txt

The reason for this is that, depending on the machine used and on the online availability of the different websites (or, rephrased: the probability of receiving an error during the scraping process from the websites, due to *memory errors* or *request denials*), **the Python script saves a useful index** for each iteration during the process, so that if anything happens during the scraping activity (i.e., loss of connectivity) all data acquired until that moment is still saved, and the scraping can begin again from that precise point. This has proven extremely useful, as **each registry requires around 18-20 hours for being completely scraped** (this is also the result of limiting the amount of requests sent to the website at the same time).

At this point there are **four main Python scripts** available for use:

1. The first one is ***scraping\_surename.ipynb***, which will scrape all surnames from cognomix.com and provide the most complete list of surnames in Italy for the subsequent scraping phase;
2. Once the scraping from Cognomix is done and we have obtained and saved the surname.csv file (the keys for the main scraping phase), it’s possible to run the scraping for the three registries by running the other Python scripts:
   1. ***scraping\_fnomceo\_data.ipynb*** for FNOMCEO;
   2. ***scraping\_fnofi\_data.ipynb*** for FNOFI;
   3. ***scraping\_alboweb\_data.ipynb*** for TSRM-PSTRP.
3. If any scraping is interrupted on any registry, the user has to run again the final cell (containing the *main()* function) on the stopped Jupyter notebook: the scraping will resume from where it was interrupted.
4. After around 19 hours for each notebook, several jsons will be created for each registry.
5. For the data cleaning and integration parts, three more Jupyter Notebooks are provided. The first one is ***replace\_profession.ipynb***, that will generate and apply a dictionary for translating the name of the medical profession under *special\_register* in FTP records into the name of the medical degree (for correct comparison and integration with FNOMCEO database).
6. Next, running **integration.ipynb** will append the three completed datasets all together, respecting the integrated schema discussed above.
7. Finally, running **save\_to\_db.ipynb** will use MongoClient from the PyMongo library for storing the integrated database into a MongoDB (allowing thus for easy querying using MongoDBCompass).

The final, integrated dataset is stored as **integrated.json**, in the directory *./final\_data/integrated\_data*. The *Final\_Data* folder is maintained as to provide with the single databases for each registry.
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1. Identification numbers are, of course, strings and do not have numerical properties. For the purpose of control on integrity constraints, however, this has been the fastest way to make sure that these strings did not have any alphabetical characters or whitespaces in them. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)